

From: [Mathieus, George](#)
To: [Tina Laidlaw/MO/R8/USEPA/US@EPA](#)
Subject: RE: 2 questions
Date: 06/13/2012 11:45 AM

At this point, I do not plan to have Suplee there. With the verbal beat down I got on the phone, I want to keep the negotiation people to a minimum.....consider yourself lucky to be there ☺. If we need Mike, I told him I would come find him.

I know EPA is spun out over lagoons, but that is a lower priority right now. My biggest hurdle is to get these folks to agree to rule language, so we can prepare for the BER. We only have a couple of weeks. Then we'll address all the other topics issues.....generally, I think we can do whatever we want and your ideas & suggestions sound good to me. I am worried about "Dave" representing the small towns.....I know he supports them doing something. He told me he has been advising them to plan for not discharging in the future.

-George

From: Tina Laidlaw [mailto:Laidlaw.Tina@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, June 13, 2012 11:27 AM
To: Mathieus, George
Subject: 2 questions

FYI-- I heard from Eric that Suplee might be in town on Thursday. Might be good to have him join our meeting?

Also, any chance we could discuss several ideas: a) lagoons -- what if they are simply evaluated just like any other facilities? b) is there a way to encourage facilities to implement the optimization study post-2016? c) what about revisiting the concept of just identifying post-2016 numbers? Could you ask Dave if the League is even open to that concept again?

Those are my thoughts for now.

tina

Tina Laidlaw
USEPA Montana Office
10 West 15th Street, Suite 3200
Helena, MT 59626
406-457-5016